1	
2	Dynamic post-transcriptional regulation during embryonic stem cell
3	differentiation
4	
5	Patrick R. van den Berg ¹ , Bogdan Budnik ² , Nikolai Slavov ^{3,*} , Stefan Semrau ^{1,*,†}
6	
7	
8	¹ Leiden Institute of Physics, Leiden University, Leiden, Zuid-Holland, 2333 CC, The Netherlands
9	² Mass Spectrometry and Proteomics Resource Laboratory, Harvard University, Cambridge,
10	Massachusetts, MA 02138, USA
11	³ Department of Bioengineering, Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, MA 02115,
12	*corresponding author, +, lead contact
13	Summary
14	During in vitro differentiation, pluripotent stem cells undergo extensive remodeling of their gene

15 expression profile. While studied extensively at the transcriptome level, much less is known about 16 protein dynamics. Here, we measured mRNA and protein levels of 7459 genes during differentiation of 17 embryonic stem cells (ESCs). This comprehensive data set revealed pervasive discordance between 18 mRNA and protein. The high temporal resolution of the data made it possible to determine protein 19 turnover rates genome-wide by fitting a kinetic model. This model further enabled us to systematically 20 identify dynamic post-transcriptional regulation. Moreover, we linked different modes of regulation to 21 the function of specific gene sets. Finally, we showed that the kinetic model can be applied to single-22 cell transcriptomics data to predict protein levels in differentiated cell types. In conclusion, our 23 comprehensive data set, easily accessible through a web application, is a valuable resource for the 24 discovery of post-transcriptional regulation in ESC differentiation.

25 Keywords

embryonic stem cells, *in vitro* differentiation, gene regulation, transcriptomics, proteomics, kinetic
 modeling, integration with single-cell transcriptomics, web application for data exploration

28 Introduction

29 Much of the medical potential of pluripotent stem cells is due to their ability to differentiate in vitro into 30 all tissue types of the adult body (Soldner and Jaenisch, 2012). While tremendous progress has been 31 made in guiding cells through successive lineage decisions, the gene regulatory mechanisms 32 underlying these decisions remain largely unknown. This gap in knowledge hampers the streamlining 33 and acceleration of differentiation protocols. A large body of work has focused on transcriptional 34 regulation, charting transcriptome changes during differentiation, most recently down to the single-cell 35 level (Klein et al., 2015; Loh et al., 2016; Semrau et al., 2016) These studies assumed implicitly that 36 mRNA levels are a good proxy for protein levels. Mounting evidence suggests that this is not a good 37 assumption for mammalian systems, where mRNA and protein levels were found to correlate only 38 moderately (Lu et al., 2009) (Kristensen et al., 2013; Peshkin et al., 2015; Schwanhäusser et al., 39 2011). Where the discordance between protein and mRNA expression originates and what the 40 biological function might be are long-standing and controversially discussed issues (Liu et al., 2016; 41 Vogel and Marcotte, 2012). Here we study the relationship between mRNA and protein expression in 42 the context of *in vitro* differentiation, a highly dynamic process in which gene regulation at the protein 43 level likely plays an important role (Sampath et al., 2008).

44 Results

45 Measurement of transcriptome and proteome dynamics during retinoic acid driven differentiation 46 We used retinoic acid (RA) differentiation of mESCs as a generic model for *in vitro* differentiation. 47 Previously, we characterized this differentiation assay in detail at the transcriptional level by single-cell 48 RNA-seq (Semrau et al., 2016). In particular, we have shown that within 96 h of RA exposure, mESCs 49 bifurcate into an extraembryonic endoderm-like and an ectoderm-like cell type (XEN and ECT 50 respectively). Here we collected samples of the mixed population during an RA differentiation time 51 course as well as the two final, FACS-purified differentiated cell types at 96 h (Fig. 1a). For each time 52 point or cell type we quantified poly(A) RNA by RNA-seq and protein expression by tandem mass tag 53 (TMT) labeling followed by tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS). In total, we obtained both RNA and 54 protein expression for 7459 genes (Supplementary Fig. 1a). Protein levels were guantified with low 55 technical error (Supplementary Fig. 1a) and high reproducibility between protein fold changes 56 measured in biological replicates (Pearson's r = 0.92, Supplementary Fig. 1b). Moreover, the XEN-like 57 cells measured here were similar to embryo derived XEN cells in their proteome (Mulvey et al., 2015)

58 (r = 0.65, Supplementary Fig. 1c).

59 Correlation between mRNA and protein levels is moderate

60 To explore the relationship between mRNA and protein levels we first correlated the two expression 61 levels across genes for individual time points or cell types (sample-wise correlation). In mESCs (0h 62 time point) Pearson correlation between mRNA and protein was 0.57 (Fig. 1b). Similar values have 63 been reported in other mammalian systems (de Sousa Abreu et al., 2009; Jovanovic et al., 2015; 64 Schwanhäusser et al., 2011). Sample-wise correlation was approximately the same for all samples, 65 including the purified differentiated cell types (Fig. 1c). Low mRNA-protein correlation was thus not cell 66 state dependent. Importantly, a low sample-wise correlation does not exclude the possibility that 67 relative changes in protein levels during differentiation closely follow relative changes in mRNA levels. 68 To quantify the concordance between mRNA and protein dynamics we calculated their correlation 69 across time for individual genes (gene-wise correlation, Fig. 1d-e). Some genes, like the pluripotency 70 factor Rex1 (Zfp42) indeed exhibited a high correlation between mRNA and protein (r = 0.93 for 71 Rex1). Numerous genes, like the ribosomal protein Rps6, for example, did not exhibit any strong 72 correlation between protein or mRNA (r = 0 for Rps6). Strikingly, we also observed many genes with 73 anti-correlated profiles, like Arpc1a (r = - 0.91) or Arvcf (r = - 0.90). Such highly negative correlations 74 do not seem to be a result of technical noise in protein quantification, since multiple distinct peptides of 75 the same protein show similar trends (Supplementary Fig. 1d). Overall, the distribution of gene-wise 76 correlations, while peaking close to 1, had a long tail towards -1 (Fig. 1e). This result clearly shows 77 that mRNA dynamics are in general not a good predictor for protein dynamics during differentiation.

Classification by dominant temporal trends visualizes widespread discordance between mRNA and protein

80 Having discovered that mRNA and protein dynamics are in general dissimilar we wanted to reveal the 81 main trends in expression dynamics and study how they differ between mRNA and protein. To that 82 end we used singular value decomposition (SVD) to decompose an expression profile into a weighted 83 sum of generic profiles, called eigengenes (Fig. 2a). In contrast to other classification methods, SVD 84 allows us to discriminate systematically between the main trend (the dominant eigengene) and 85 smaller, additional fluctuations (Fig. 2b). The first three eigengenes, which corresponded to monotonic, 86 transient or oscillatory trends, explained 76% and 85% of the variance in mRNA and protein 87 expression, respectively (Fig. 2c). mRNA eigengenes were more dynamic than protein eigengenes

(Supplementary Fig. 1e), which reflects the buffering of mRNA dynamics by protein synthesis and
degradation (Liu et al., 2016) (Jovanovic et al., 2015). Classification of all genes by their dominant
mRNA and protein eigengenes (which reflect the main temporal trends) revealed widespread
discordance (Fig. 2d). While there was a statistically significant enrichment of genes with similar
dominant mRNA and protein eigengenes (p-value < 1E-5), most genes (60%) had discordant mRNA
and protein dynamics.

94 A simple kinetic model partially explains the mRNA-protein discordance for the majority of genes 95 The temporal delay between mRNA and protein eigengenes (Fig. 2a) sparked the hypothesis that the 96 delay inherent to protein synthesis and degradation might cause much of the observed discordance. 97 To pursue this hypothesis we modeled protein turnover using a simple birth-death process with 98 constant protein synthesis and degradation rates (Tchourine et al., 2014) (Peshkin et al., 2015) 99 (Methods, Fig. 3a). In our model the synthesis rate k_s lumps all processes related to protein production 100 (translation initiation, elongation, etc.) while the degradation rate k_d represents all processes leading to 101 a reduction in protein levels (dilution due to cell division, active degradation, etc.). To avoid over-fitting, 102 we also considered simpler models, which correspond to cases in which a protein is only synthesized, 103 only degraded or completely constant (Fig. 3b). To select among these models, we employed the 104 Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC), a score that penalizes the fit according to the number of 105 parameters (Methods). To reveal whether there is a connection between a certain model and specific 106 molecular functions, we performed GO term enrichment analysis. This analysis revealed that the 107 "degradation only" model was enriched for genes with a role in blastocysts development and inner cell 108 mass proliferation (Supplementary Fig. 2a). These genes are likely involved in preserving the 109 pluripotent state, as exemplified by the pluripotency factor Nanog. Degradation of the corresponding 110 proteins is crucial for the timely exit from pluripotency. GO term enrichment analysis also showed that 111 the "synthesis only" model was enriched for genes involved in neuron development and mesenchymal 112 cell development. These genes thus likely have specific functions in differentiated cell types and hence 113 must be synthesized quickly to ensure proper function. An example of such a gene is Lamb1, which is 114 highly expressed in XEN cells. This analysis shows that the different regulatory modes identified by 115 our model correspond to specific functions in differentiation.

We next wanted to evaluate the validity of our model by comparison with relevant data sets from the literature. Protein half-lives (Supplementary Fig. 2b) calculated from the degradation rates were in the same range as previously reported values for other systems (Peshkin et al., 2015; Schwanhäusser et al., 2011). Synthesis rates were positively correlated with translational efficiencies determined from
ribosome profiling in mESCs (Supplementary Fig. 2c) (Ingolia et al., 2011). The inferred kinetic rates
are thus biologically meaningful.

122 In order to assess how far our kinetic model can explain the observed protein-mRNA discordance we 123 calculated the correlation between measured and predicted protein levels (Fig. 3c). These correlations 124 were sharply peaked close to one, which means that our simple model is able to explain a large 125 portion of the observed mRNA-protein discordance. This discordance is likely only transient since 126 protein-to-mRNA ratios differed most from their equilibrium value ($k_{eq} = k_s/k_d$) in the beginning but 127 approached it over time (Supplementary Fig. 2d). This observation supports our conclusion that the 128 observed mRNA-protein discordance during differentiation is largely a transient, dynamic imbalance 129 caused by delayed protein synthesis and degradation.

130 The CDS/ 3'UTR mRNA expression ratio is a modulator of the synthesis rate

131 We next sought to further refine our kinetic model and explore whether we could find predictors of 132 protein abundance. In that respect we were intrigued by a recent report that connected the ratio of 133 mRNA expression from the coding sequence (CDS) and 3' untranslated region (UTR) to protein 134 abundance (Kocabas et al., 2015). In our data sets, the CDS/3'UTR mRNA expression ratio w also 135 had a non-trivial relationship with protein levels (Supplementary Fig. 2e). Consequently, we included w 136 in our model as a modulator of the synthesis rate (Fig. 3d, Methods). Again, using the BIC to 137 determine whether using an additional free parameter is warranted by the improvement of the fit, we 138 found that 492 genes were fit optimally by the extended kinetic model (Fig. 3e). In the cases where it 139 was optimal the extended model provided a substantial improvement over the basic model (Fig. 3f). 140 For roughly half of those genes, w has a positive effect on protein synthesis and a negative effect on 141 the other half (Supplementary Fig. 2f). While the molecular mechanism relating w to the protein 142 synthesis rate is not yet known, our analysis shows that w is an interesting predictor that should be 143 explored in future studies of protein dynamics.

144 Failure of the kinetic model reveals dynamic post-transcriptional regulation

Despite its success in explaining the mRNA-protein discordance overall, our kinetic model does not fit the dynamics of all quantified proteins. We identified 1232 genes with a poor mRNA-protein correlation that is not appreciably improved by any of the kinetic models (Supplementary Fig. 3a). Due to the buffering of mRNA dynamics when synthesis and degradation rates are constant, the model fails in particular when the protein profile is more dynamic than the mRNA profile (Supplementary Fig. 3b).

150 Importantly, the genes that are not fit well by our model are very similar to the full data set in their 151 protein reliabilities (medians: 0.970 versus 0.972) and measurement errors (median SEM: 0.121 152 versus 0.115). Hence, technical noise is in general not the reason for the lack of a good fit. Rather, the 153 model fails due to the assumption that kinetic rates are constant. Consequently, we consider genes 154 that are not fit well by the model to be dynamically regulated. We sought to find sets of such genes 155 that potentially share regulatory features. To this end we again used the classification by dominant 156 eigengenes (Supplementary Fig. 3c). As an example, we focused on a class of genes with relatively 157 simple dynamics: monotonically increasing mRNA and a transient increase in protein expression 158 (highlighted in Supplementary Fig. 3c). Notably, we discovered that genes belonging to the MAPK 159 pathway were enriched in this particular class (ConsensusPathDB, adjusted p-value = 1.8E-3, 160 Supplementary Fig. 3d). This suggests that genes of the MAPK pathway, which is highly relevant for 161 the differentiation of mESCs (Kunath et al., 2007), are regulated dynamically at the protein level. This 162 analysis exemplifies that we can systematically identify sets of genes that are dynamically regulated at 163 the protein level, likely by common mechanisms.

164 Sets of genes with different functions in differentiation show distinct regulatory modes

165 We next wanted to concentrate further on the regulation of gene sets that are relevant for embryonic 166 stem cell differentiation. To that end, we defined sets of markers for the pluripotent state, XEN cells, 167 and ECT cells based on differential mRNA expression (Supplementary Fig. 4a), which were confirmed 168 by GO term enrichment (Supplementary Fig. 4b). As a fourth gene set we considered ribosomal 169 proteins since it has been shown previously that the translational state changes dramatically during 170 differentiation (Sampath et al., 2008). For these 4 gene sets we calculated the average mRNA and 171 protein profiles, correlation between mRNA and protein, classification by dominant eigengene and 172 inferred synthesis and degradation rates for the genes that are fit optimally by the full kinetic model 173 (Fig. 4a). This analysis of gene sets is also available on the companion website. Pluripotency markers 174 were in general down regulated at the mRNA level (per definition) but also at the protein level. 175 Correspondingly, we found this set to be enriched in the "degradation only" kinetic model while the 176 "synthesis only" model is underrepresented (Supplementary Fig. 4c). This observation is consistent 177 with the fact that pluripotency genes have to be down-regulated guickly to allow for a timely exit from 178 pluripotency. Nevertheless, there were some genes that showed a substantial increase in protein 179 expression and consequently had a negative correlation between measured mRNA and protein (see 180 Supplementary Fig. 4d for examples). XEN and ECT markers were in general upregulated, where ECT 181 markers came up before XEN markers, as shown by us previously (Semrau et al., 2016). In contrast to 182 the set of pluripotency markers, XEN and ECT genes showed a high level of concordance between 183 mRNA and protein, as immediately obvious from the eigengene classification. Correspondingly, both 184 gene sets were enriched for high correlation between mRNA and protein. Additionally, XEN markers 185 were enriched for the "synthesis only" model (Supplementary Fig. 4b). This might be related to the fact 186 that XEN cells have to produce high levels of extracellular matrix proteins(Mulvey et al., 2015), like 187 laminin (Lamb1) or collagen (Col4a2). Consequently, these proteins must be synthesized in a timely 188 manner to ensure the proper function of the XEN cells. All in all, it seems that cell type specific 189 markers defined at the mRNA level could be confirmed at the level of protein and that for these genes 190 protein expression closely follows mRNA expression. Compared to the gene sets discussed so far, 191 ribosomal protein (RP) genes showed a remarkable extent of discordance between mRNA and protein 192 expression. Eigengene classification revealed that many RP genes had protein profiles that were more 193 dynamic than their mRNA counterparts. Correspondingly, RP genes were enriched for low correlation 194 between mRNA and protein (p-value = 3.3E-2). As cells differentiated, the protein levels of RP genes 195 decreased, consistent with reduced cell division rates. The rate of decrease in abundance, however, 196 was RP specific. Thus, it will be interesting to isolate ribosomes and analyze the extent to which these RP dynamics reflect ribosome remodeling and specialization (Slavov et al., 2015). In summary, we 197 198 have shown that the 4 analyzed gene sets follow distinct regulatory modes that can be related to 199 biological functions.

The kinetic model can be applied to single-cell transcriptomics data to predict protein levels in differentiated cell types

202 In the experiment presented here, the existence of good antibodies for highly expressed surface 203 markers allowed us to purify differentiated cells at 96 h and profile their proteome. For earlier time 204 points or many other differentiation assays such an approach is difficult or even impossible. By 205 contrast, single-cell transcriptomics methods can be applied to any differentiation system. Hence, we 206 would like to use such data sets to predict protein levels in subpopulations. To that end, we extracted 207 cell type specific mRNA dynamics during differentiation from our earlier single-cell RNA-seq 208 measurement of the system (Semrau et al., 2016). We then applied our kinetic model to this data set 209 to predict protein levels in the differentiated cell types at 96 h (Fig. 4b, Methods). Our prediction was 210 clearly superior to a prediction that used only bulk RNA-seq measurements and protein-to-mRNA 211 ratios (Edfors et al., 2016) (Fig. 4c). We have thus demonstrated that our kinetic model with

212 parameters learned from bulk measurements can be applied to single-cell transcriptomics data to

213 predict cell type specific protein levels.

214 We finally compared the differentiated cell types directly with each other. Overall, the correlation 215 between mRNA and protein changes was poor and we identified a few outlier genes in particular that 216 showed extreme behavior (Fig. 4d). These outliers had comparable protein expression in XEN and 217 ECT cells (at most 2-fold difference) but mRNA expression was much lower in XEN cells (up to 19-218 fold). Notably, these outliers are strongly enriched for imprinted genes (hypergeometric test, p-value = 219 2.3E-10). It is a well-known fact that some imprinted genes are mono-allelically expressed in extra-220 embryonic tissues (Miri and Varmuza, 2009). Yet, the observed down-regulation goes well beyond a 221 two-fold change expected for mono-allelic expression. This observation demonstrates that our data set 222 can be used to discover significant differences in gene regulation between differentiated cell types.

223 Discussion

224 Here we systematically analyzed the dynamics of mRNA and protein expression during mESC 225 differentiation. We observed that absolute levels of protein and mRNA are only moderately correlated 226 in the steady (pluripotent) state, consistent with results in other mammalian systems (Schwanhäusser 227 et al., 2011) (Wilhelm et al., 2014) (Edfors et al., 2016). Importantly, low correlation does not 228 immediately imply a significant role of gene-specific regulation as technical noise tends to reduce the 229 observed correlation and conventional correction schemes typically ignore the effect of systematic, 230 correlated errors (Csárdi et al., 2015). Edfors et al. showed recently that the protein-to-mRNA ratio 231 (PTR) for a specific gene is constant across several tissues (Edfors et al., 2016). While the PTR might 232 allow the prediction of absolute protein levels, it is unable to capture relative changes over time or 233 relative differences between tissues (Franks et al., 2017; Silva and Vogel, 2016). 234 In this study we found widespread discordance between mRNA and protein dynamics during mESCs 235 differentiation. Such discordance has been observed recently in several systems, in particular: 236 Xenopus development (Peshkin et al., 2015), C. elegans development (Grün et al., 2014), 237 macrophage differentiation (Kristensen et al., 2013) and mESC differentiation (Lu et al., 2009). While 238 this discordance is typically interpreted as a sign of (post) translational regulation (Grün et al., 2014) 239 (Lu et al., 2009), theoretical work showed that a simple delay between mRNA and protein production 240 can lead to a reduction in gene-wise correlation (Gedeon and Bokes, 2012) (Munsky and Neuert, 241 2015). Here we showed here that a simple model with constant kinetic rates, substantially reduces the 242 discordance for 63% of discordant genes (Supplementary Fig. 3a). The same kinetic model explained 243 protein dynamics of a third of all genes during stress response in yeast (Tchourine et al., 2014) and of 244 75% of all genes in Xenopus development (Peshkin et al., 2015). Consistently, this simple model thus 245 explains discordance for significant proportions of the genome. We also found that the dynamics of 246 48% of all genes are best fit by a model that either includes only protein synthesis or degradation. A 247 similar observation was made analyzing the stress response in yeast (Tchourine et al., 2014). We 248 speculate that the different reduced models correspond to different regulatory mechanisms, as 249 suggested by the enrichment of different GO terms and gene sets reported here. We further showed 250 that protein-mRNA ratios were transiently out-of-steady-state on the way to a new equilibrium in the 251 differentiated cell types. The observed discordance between mRNA and protein thus most likely 252 reflects a transient, dynamic imbalance due to delayed protein synthesis and degradation. We further 253 extended the basic kinetic model by adding the CDS-3'UTR mRNA expression ratio as a useful new 254 predictor for the protein synthesis rate. We speculate that the underlying molecular mechanism is 255 related to a change in the abundances of mRNA isoforms, which are believed to have different 256 translation rates (Wong et al., 2016). Genes that were not fit well by the kinetic model, are by our 257 definition dynamically regulated at the protein level, as constant synthesis and degradation rates are 258 insufficient to describe the observed kinetics. This approach is complementary to the recently 259 developed PECA method that can be used to reveal regulatory events at the mRNA and protein level 260 (Cheng et al., 2016).

261 Our in-depth analysis of several gene sets revealed that cell type specific genes show a high 262 concordance between mRNA and protein dynamics, while for RP genes the correlation is much lower. 263 This result is reminiscent of a recent report that studied the stimulation of dendritic cells (Jovanovic et 264 al., 2015). Jovanovic et al. found that mRNA levels explain 90% of protein fold changes after 265 stimulation and proteins involved in the induced immune response were particularly enriched for this 266 regulatory mode. The dynamics of "housekeeping proteins" (including RPs), on the other hand, were 267 dominated by changes in protein synthesis and degradation rates. Similarly, Kristensen et al. reported 268 that mRNA abundance was the best predictor for proteins that were upregulated during differentiation 269 of monocytes to macrophage-like cells (Kristensen et al., 2013). Together with these previous reports 270 our study supports a model in which mRNA fold changes set the level of newly produced proteins that 271 have crucial, specific functions in the new cell state or cell type. Regulation at the level of protein 272 turnover, on the other hand, is used to adapt the existing proteome. Importantly, we also showed that 273 some pluripotency genes, defined as such by being down-regulated at the mRNA level, showed

- 274 increasing protein expression. This result cautions against defining markers for cell states or cell types
- 275 solely based on mRNA expression.
- 276 Finally, we applied our kinetic model, with model parameters learned in this study, to our earlier single-
- 277 cell transcriptomics measurement of RA differentiation. Our model successfully predicted the
- 278 proteomes of differentiated cell types that arise during RA differentiation. This approach thus makes it
- 279 possible to measure the proteomes of cell types that cannot be purified, for example due to the lack of
- suitable antibodies.
- 281 In summary, this study provided the first in-depth, integrated analysis of mRNA and protein dynamics
- 282 during mESC differentiation. All measured data are provided in a convenient web application. We
- 283 hope that this application will facilitate future studies of specific gene sets or global relationships, for
- example between sequence features and protein regulation (Vogel et al., 2010).

285 Author contributions

- 286 Conceptualization, S.S. and N.S.; Investigation, P. vd B., S.S., B.B. and N.S.; Resources, B.B.; Formal
- analysis, P. vd B. and N.S.; Software, P. vd B.; Data curation, P. vd B. and N.S.; Writing original
- draft, S.S. and P. vd B.; Writing review and editing, P. vd B., N.S. and S.S.; Supervision, S.S. and
- 289 N.S.

290 Acknowledgements

- 291 P. vd B. and S.S. were supported by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research
- 292 (NWO/OCW), as part of the Frontiers of Nanoscience (NanoFront) program. Data analysis was carried
- 293 out on the Dutch national e-infrastructure with the support of SURF Foundation. N.S. was supported
- by a New Innovator Award from the NIGMS of the NIH under Award number DP2GM123497. We
- 295 would like to thank Rudolf Jaenisch for reagents and valuable advice.
- 296
- 297 The authors declare no competing financial interests.

298 References

- 299 Cheng, Z., Teo, G., Krueger, S., Rock, T.M., Koh, H.W., Choi, H., Vogel, C., 2016. Differential
- 300 dynamics of the mammalian mRNA and protein expression response to misfolding stress. Mol
- 301 Syst Biol 12, 855–855. doi:10.15252/msb.20156423
- 302 Csárdi, G., Franks, A., Choi, D.S., Airoldi, E.M., Drummond, D.A., 2015. Accounting for Experimental
- 303 Noise Reveals That mRNA Levels, Amplified by Post-Transcriptional Processes, Largely
- 304 Determine Steady-State Protein Levels in Yeast. PLoS Genet 11, e1005206.
- 305 doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1005206
- de Sousa Abreu, R., Penalva, L.O., Marcotte, E.M., Vogel, C., 2009. Global signatures of protein and
 mRNA expression levels. Mol Biosyst 5, 1512–1526. doi:10.1039/B908315D
- 308 Edfors, F., Danielsson, F., Hallström, B.M., 2016. Gene-specific correlation of RNA and protein levels
- in human cells and tissues. Molecular Systems doi:10.15252/msb.20167325
- Franks, A., Airoldi, E., Slavov, N., 2017. Post-transcriptional regulation across human tissues. bioRxiv
 020206. doi:10.1101/020206
- Gedeon, T., Bokes, P., 2012. Delayed Protein Synthesis Reduces the Correlation between mRNA
 and Protein Fluctuations. Biophys J 103, 377–385.
- 314 Grün, D., Kirchner, M., Thierfelder, N., Stoeckius, M., Selbach, M., Rajewsky, N., 2014. Conservation
- of mRNA and Protein Expression during Development of C. elegans. Cell Reports 6, 565–577.
- 316 doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2014.01.001
- 317 Ingolia, N.T., Lareau, L.F., Weissman, J.S., 2011. Ribosome Profiling of Mouse Embryonic Stem Cells
- 318 Reveals the Complexity and Dynamics of Mammalian Proteomes. Cell 147, 789–802.
- 319 doi:10.1016/j.cell.2011.10.002
- Jovanovic, M., Rooney, M.S., Mertins, P., Przybylski, D., Chevrier, N., Satija, R., Rodriguez, E.H.,
- 321 Fields, A.P., Schwartz, S., Raychowdhury, R., Mumbach, M.R., Eisenhaure, T., Rabani, M.,
- 322 Gennert, D., Lu, D., Delorey, T., Weissman, J.S., Carr, S.A., Hacohen, N., Regev, A., 2015.
- 323 Immunogenetics. Dynamic profiling of the protein life cycle in response to pathogens. PubMed -
- 324 NCBI. Science 347, 1259038–1259038. doi:10.1126/science.1259038
- 325 Klein, A.M., Mazutis, L., Akartuna, I., Tallapragada, N., Veres, A., Li, V., Peshkin, L., Weitz, D.A.,
- 326 Kirschner, M.W., 2015. Droplet Barcoding for Single-Cell Transcriptomics Applied to Embryonic
- 327 Stem Cells. Cell 161, 1187–1201. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2015.04.044
- 328 Kocabas, A., Duarte, T., Kumar, S., Hynes, M.A., 2015. Widespread Differential Expression of Coding

- 329 Region and 3′ UTR Sequences in Neurons and Other Tissues. Neuron 88, 1149–1156.
- doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2015.10.048
- Kristensen, A.R., Gsponer, J., Foster, L.J., 2013. Protein synthesis rate is the predominant regulator
 of protein expression during differentiation. Mol Syst Biol 9, 689–689. doi:10.1038/msb.2013.47
- 333 Kunath, T., Saba-El-Leil, M.K., Almousailleakh, M., Wray, J., Meloche, S., Smith, A., 2007. FGF
- 334 stimulation of the Erk1/2 signalling cascade triggers transition of pluripotent embryonic stem cells
- from self-renewal to lineage commitment. Development 134, 2895–2902. doi:10.1242/dev.02880
- Liu, Y., Beyer, A., Aebersold, R., 2016. On the Dependency of Cellular Protein Levels on mRNA
- 337 Abundance. Cell 165, 535–550. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.03.014
- Loh, K.M., Chen, A., Koh, P.W., Deng, T.Z., Sinha, R., Tsai, J.M., Barkal, A.A., Shen, K.Y., Jain, R.,
- 339 Morganti, R.M., Shyh-Chang, N., Fernhoff, N.B., George, B.M., Wernig, G., Salomon, R.E.A.,
- 340 Chen, Z., Vogel, H., Epstein, J.A., Kundaje, A., Talbot, W.S., Beachy, P.A., Ang, L.T., Weissman,
- 341 I.L., 2016. Mapping the Pairwise Choices Leading from Pluripotency to Human Bone, Heart, and
- 342 Other Mesoderm Cell Types. Cell 166, 451–467. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.06.011
- Lu, R., Markowetz, F., Unwin, R.D., Leek, J.T., Airoldi, E.M., MacArthur, B.D., Lachmann, A., Rozov,
- 344 R., Ma'ayan, A., Boyer, L.A., Troyanskaya, O.G., Whetton, A.D., Lemischka, I.R., 2009. Systems-
- 345 level dynamic analyses of fate change in murine embryonic stem cells. Nature 462, 358–362.
- 346 doi:10.1038/nature08575
- 347 Miri, K., Varmuza, S., 2009. Chapter 5 Imprinting and Extraembryonic Tissues—Mom Takes Control,
- in: International Review of Cell and Molecular Biology. Elsevier, pp. 215–262.
- 349 doi:10.1016/S1937-6448(09)76005-8
- 350 Mulvey, C.M., Schröter, C., Gatto, L., Dikicioglu, D., Fidaner, I.B., Christoforou, A., Deery, M.J., Cho,
- 351 L.T.Y., Niakan, K.K., Martinez Arias, A., Lilley, K.S., 2015. Dynamic Proteomic Profiling of Extra-
- 352 Embryonic Endoderm Differentiation in Mouse Embryonic Stem Cells. STEM CELLS 33, 2712–
- 353 2725. doi:10.1002/stem.2067
- 354 Munsky, B., Neuert, G., 2015. From analog to digital models of gene regulation. Phys. Biol. 12,
- 355 045004. doi:10.1088/1478-3975/12/4/045004
- 356 Peshkin, L., Wühr, M., Pearl, E., Haas, W., Freeman, R.M., Gerhart, J.C., Klein, A.M., Horb, M., Gygi,
- 357 S.P., Kirschner, M.W., 2015. On the Relationship of Protein and mRNA Dynamics in Vertebrate
- 358 Embryonic Development. Developmental cell 35, 383–394. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2015.10.010
- 359 Sampath, P., Pritchard, D., Pabon, L., Reinecke, H., Schwartz, S., Morris, D., Murry, C., 2008. A

- 360 hierarchical network controls protein translation during murine embryonic stem cell self-renewal
- and differentiation. Cell Stem Cell 2, 448–460.
- 362 Schwanhäusser, B., Busse, D., Li, N., Dittmar, G., Schuchhardt, J., Wolf, J., Chen, W., Selbach, M.,
- 363 2011. Global quantification of mammalian gene expression control. Nature 473, 337–342.
- 364 doi:10.1038/nature10098
- 365 Semrau, S., Goldmann, J., Soumillon, M., Mikkelsen, T.S., Jaenisch, R., van Oudenaarden, A., 2016.
- 366 Dynamics of lineage commitment revealed by single-cell transcriptomics of differentiating
- 367 embryonic stem cells. bioRxiv 068288. doi:10.1101/068288
- 368 Silva, G.M., Vogel, C., 2016. Quantifying gene expression: the importance of being subtle. Mol Syst
 369 Biol. doi:10.15252/msb.20167144
- 370 Slavov, N., Semrau, S., Airoldi, E., Budnik, B., van Oudenaarden, A., 2015. Differential Stoichiometry
- among Core Ribosomal Proteins. Cell Reports 13, 865–873. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2015.09.056
- 372 Soldner, F., Jaenisch, R., 2012. iPSC Disease Modeling. Science 338, 1155–1156.
- 373 doi:10.1126/science.1227682
- Storey, J.D., 2005. Significance analysis of time course microarray experiments. Proceedings of the
 National Academy of Sciences 102, 12837–12842. doi:10.1073/pnas.0504609102
- 376 Tchourine, K., Poultney, C.S., Wang, L., Silva, G.M., Manohar, S., Mueller, C.L., Bonneau, R., Vogel,
- 377 C., 2014. One third of dynamic protein expression profiles can be predicted by a simple rate
- 378 equation. Mol Biosyst 10, 2850–2862. doi:10.1039/C4MB00358F
- 379 Vogel, C., de Sousa Abreu, R., Ko, D., Le, S.Y., Shapiro, B.A., Burns, S.C., Sandhu, D., Boutz, D.R.,
- 380 Marcotte, E.M., Penalva, L.O., 2010. Sequence signatures and mRNA concentration can explain
- two-thirds of protein abundance variation in a human cell line. Mol Syst Biol 6, 400.
- 382 doi:10.1038/msb.2010.59
- Vogel, C., Marcotte, E.M., 2012. Insights into the regulation of protein abundance from proteomic and
 transcriptomic analyses. Nat Rev Genet. doi:10.1038/nrg3185
- 385 Wilhelm, M., Schlegl, J., Hahne, H., Gholami, A.M., Lieberenz, M., Savitski, M.M., Ziegler, E.,
- 386 Butzmann, L., Gessulat, S., Marx, H., Mathieson, T., Lemeer, S., Schnatbaum, K., Reimer, U.,
- 387 Wenschuh, H., Mollenhauer, M., Slotta-Huspenina, J., Boese, J.-H., Bantscheff, M., Gerstmair,
- 388 A., Faerber, F., Kuster, B., 2014. Mass-spectrometry-based draft of the human proteome. Nature
- 389 509, 582–587. doi:10.1038/nature13319
- Wong, Q.W.-L., Vaz, C., Lee, Q.Y., Zhao, T.Y., Luo, R., Archer, S.K., Preiss, T., Tanavde, V., Vardy,

- 391 L.A., 2016. Embryonic Stem Cells Exhibit mRNA Isoform Specific Translational Regulation. PLoS
- 392 ONE 11, e0143235. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0143235
- 393 Ying, Q.-L., Wray, J., Nichols, J., Batlle-Morera, L., Doble, B., Woodgett, J., Cohen, P., Smith, A.,
- 394 2008. The ground state of embryonic stem cell self-renewal. Nature 453, 519–523.
- 395 doi:10.1038/nature06968

397 Figure captions

- 398 Figure 1 mRNA and protein expression correlate poorly during mESC differentiation
- 399 (A) Experimental setup. (B) mRNA versus protein expression of 7459 genes in mESCs. Each data 400 point is an individual gene. Red lines indicate contour lines of equal density. (C) Sample-wise Pearson 401 correlation between mRNA and protein for all samples. The solid line indicates the average of all time 402 course samples. The grey area indicates the 5% rejection region for all samples being identical (see 403 Methods). Error bars: SEM. (D) mRNA versus protein expression at all time points for nine example 404 genes. Pearson's correlation r is indicated for each gene. The line and grey area indicate the linear 405 regression fit and 95% CI, respectively. Error bars: SEM. (E) Distribution of the gene-wise Pearson 406 correlation between mRNA and protein. Numbered arrows indicate the position of the examples shown 407 in D. See also Supplementary Figure 1.
- Figure 2 Classification of temporal mRNA and protein expression profiles by dominant trends reveals
 widespread discordance
- 410 (A) First six eigengenes of mRNA and protein expression profiles. (B) Reconstruction of mRNA and
- 411 protein expression profiles from the top three eigengenes of an example gene. (C) Cumulative
- 412 variance explained by the eigengenes for mRNA and protein profiles. (D) Classification of all genes by

413 their dominant mRNA eigengene (columns) and protein eigengene (rows).

414 See also Supplementary Figure 1.

415

416 Figure 3 Simple kinetic models of protein synthesis and degradation explain mRNA-protein

417 discordance.

418 (A) Kinetic model. k_s = synthesis rate constant; k_d = degradation rate constant. (B) Example fits of the 419 full model ($k_s > 0$, $k_d > 0$) and the three reduced models: synthesis only ($k_s > 0$, $k_d = 0$), degradation only 420 $(k_s = 0, k_d > 0)$ and degenerate $(k_s = k_d = 0)$. Percentages indicate the fraction of genes fit best by the 421 respective model. (C) Distribution of Pearson correlation between measured protein expression and 422 mRNA expression or predicted protein expression. (D) Extended kinetic model. $k_s(t)$ = time-dependent 423 synthesis rate. (E) mRNA expression, log ratio of expression from CDS and 3'UTR and protein 424 expression profiles of two example genes with fits of the extended model (solid line) or the basic 425 model (dashed line). (F) Distribution of Pearson correlation between measured protein expression

426 and: mRNA expression, protein expression predicted by the basic model or the extended model. Error

427 bars in (B) and (E): SEM.

428 See also Supplementary Figures 2 and 3.

429

430 Figure 4. Classification and kinetic modelling reveal differences between gene sets involved in

431 differentiation and between differentiated cell types.

432 (A) Comparison of four gene sets that are relevant for differentiation. Log₂ fold change (L2FC) of

433 mRNA and protein expression are shown for individual genes (colored) and the set average (black).

434 The p-value in the classification matrix is based on picking genes at random from all genes (chi-

435 squared test). (B) mRNA expression of XEN and ECT subpopulations (from single cell data) and the

436 mixed populations (bulk sample). Protein expression in XEN and ECT is predicted by applying the

437 kinetic model to the single cell data. Alternatively, at 96 h we also predicted protein based on the

438 protein-to-mRNA (PTR) ratio. MPI = Mean peptide intensity. (C) Sum of squared residuals (SSR) of

439 the kinetic model-based prediction compared to the PTR-based prediction for the XEN and ECT

440 marker genes. (D) mRNA and protein expression in XEN cells relative to ECT cells. Outlier genes are

441 highlighted with a dark background and imprinted genes are shown in red (obtained from

442 www.geneimprint.com, Oct-11-2016). Imprinted genes are significantly enriched in the outlier gene set

443 (hypergeometric test: p-value = 2.72e-10).

444 See also Supplementary Figure 4.

445 Methods

446 Cell culture

- 447 E14 mouse embryonic stem cells were cultured as previously described (Semrau et al., 2016). Briefly,
- 448 cells were grown in modified 2i medium (Ying et al., 2008): DMEM/F12 (Life technologies)
- 449 supplemented with 0.5x N2 supplement, 0.5x B27 supplement, 4mM L- glutamine (Gibco), 20 μg/ml
- 450 human insulin (Sigma-Aldrich), 1x 100U/ml penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco), 1x MEM Non-Essential
- 451 Amino Acids (Gibco), 7 µl 2-Mercaptoethanol (Sigma-Aldrich), 1 µM MEK inhibitor
- 452 (PD0325901,Stemgent), 3 μM GSK3 inhibitor (CHIR99021, Stemgent), 1000 U/ml mouse LIF
- 453 (ESGRO). Cells were passaged every other day with Accutase (Life technologies) and replated on
- 454 gelatin coated tissue culture plates (Cellstar, Greiner bio-one).

455 Differentiation and sample collection

- 456 Retinoic acid induced differentiation was carried out exactly as describe before (Semrau et al., 2016).
- 457 Prior to differentiation cells were grown in 2i medium for at least 2 passages. Cells were seeded at 2.5
- 458×10^5 per 10 cm dish and grown over night (12 h). Cells were then washed twice with PBS and
- differentiated in basal N2B27 medium (2i medium without the inhibitors, LIF and the additional insulin)
- 460 supplemented with 0.25 μM all-trans retinoic acid (RA, Sigma-Aldrich). Spent medium was exchanged
- 461 with fresh medium after 48 h.
- 462 To collect samples, cells were dissociated with Accutase. RNA was extracted from half of the sample
- 463 (RNeasy, Qiagen) and the purified RNA was stored at -80C until RNA-sequencing was performed. The
- 464 other half of the sample was flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80C until mass spectrometry
- 465 was performed.

466 Fluorescence-activated cell sorting

467 FACS sorting of the differentiated cell types and quantification of the cell type frequencies was carried
468 out exactly as described previously (*Semrau et al., 2016*).

469 RNA sequencing and mRNA quantification

- 470 Library preparation and RNA sequencing
- 471 The libraries for RNA sequencing were prepared under standard conditions using Illumina's TruSeq
- 472 stranded mRNA sample preparation kit. The libraries were sequenced using Illumina HiSeq 3000 ; 40

473 basepair long, stranded single-end reads were sequenced at an average read depth of 40 million

474 reads per sample. The data is available through GEO.

475 Read alignment

476 An RSEM-reference was created using RSEM v1.2.28 (Li and Dewey, 2011) with the Illumina

- 477 iGenome GRCm38 reference using the standard settings. Next, the Illumina adapter was trimmed
- 478 from the reads with *cutadapt* v1.8.3 (Martin, 2011) and low quality bases with sickle v1.33 (Joshi et al.,
- 479 2011). Finally the reads were aligned with RSEM v1.2.28 (Li and Dewey, 2011) and Bowtie 2 v2.2.6
- 480 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012) using standard settings accept for "--sampling-for-bam --fragment-
- 481 *length-mean 40"*. The option "--sampling-for-bam" was applied so each read appears in the BAM file
- 482 once. This enabled the estimation of the CDS and 3'UTR counts by *summarizeOverlaps* from the
- 483 package GenomicAlignment v1.8.4 (Lawrence et al., 2013).

484 Gene quantification

- 485 mRNA expression was quantified by several different methods depending on the application.
- 486 Transcripts per million (TPM) was calculated by *RSEM* and was used when comparing between genes
- 487 since it is corrected for gene length. The more variance stabilized regularized log counts (rLC) were
- determined by applying the *rlog* function from *DESeq2* v1.12.3 (Love et al., 2014) on rounded
- 489 expected counts obtained from *RSEM*. From this regularized counts (rC) were obtained by: $rC = 2^{rLC}$.
- 490 rLC and rC are corrected for overdispersion in low-read genes and are therefore used when
- 491 comparing one gene across multiple samples. CDS and 3'UTR counts were determined by splitting
- the gene annotation file (GTF) with the *GenomicFeatures* package v1.26.0 (Lawrence et al., 2013) into
- 493 CDS and 3'UTR for every Ensembl gene ID. Next, the number of reads on the CDS and 3'UTR
- 494 features from the aligned BAM files were counted with *summarizeOverlaps* with default options.
- 495 "Union", the default option for *mode*, discards reads, if they overlap with both CDS and 3'UTR. The
- 496 ratio w (CDS / 3'UTR) was only calculated for genes with at least 10 reads for CDS and 3'UTR in
- 497 every sample.

498 Differentially expressed genes

Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were determined by *DESeq2* v1.12.3 (Love et al., 2014) on the rounded expected counts obtained from RSEM at a false discovery rate (FDR) of 10%. The gene set 'pluripotency genes' were DEGs that were down-regulated when comparing the samples 0h (n=2) and 96h (n=2). XEN- and ECT-marker gene sets were DEGs that were up-regulated when comparing the

- samples 0h (n=2) with XEN (n=1) or ECT (n=1) respectively. Additionally, XEN- and ECT-markers
- 504 have at least a 2-fold difference in expression between the two cell types.

505 Mass spectrometry and protein quantification

506 Sample preparation

Pelleted cells were lysed in 400 µl RIPA buffer, except for the sorted cells, which were lysed in 200 µl
RIPA buffer. Volumes of cell lysate corresponding to 100 µg protein per sample were digested with
trypsin using a modified FASP protocol (Wiśniewski et al., 2009). Subsequently each sample was
labeled with TMT 10-plex reagent (Prod# 90061, Thermo Fisher, San Jose, CA) according to the
manufacturer's protocol. All labeled samples were combined into a set-sample.

512 Mass spectrometry

513 The labeled set–sample was fractionated by electrostatic repulsion-hydrophilic interaction

514 chromatography chromatography (ERLIC) run on an HPLC 1200 Agilent system using PolyWAX LP

515 column (200x2.1 mm, 5 µm, 30nm, PolyLC Inc, Columbia, MD) and a fraction collector (Agilent

516 Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). Set-samples were fractionated into a total of 40 ERLIC fractions.

517 Each ERLIC fraction was subsequently further separated by online nano-LC and submitted for tandem

518 mass spectrometry analysis to both LTQ OrbitrapElite or Q exactive high field (HF). One third of each

519 fraction was injected from an auto–sampler into the trapping column (75 um column ID, 5 cm length

520 packed with 5 um beads with 20 nm pores, from Michrom Bioresources, Inc.) and washed for 15 min;

the sample was eluted to analytic column with a gradient from 2 to 32 % of buffer B (0.1 % formic acid

522 in ACN) over 180 min gradient and fed into LTQ OrbitrapElite or Q exactive HF. The instruments were

set to run in TOP 20 MS/MS mode method with dynamic exclusion. After MS1 scan in Orbitrap with

524 60K resolving power, each ion was submitted to an HCD MS/MS with 60K resolving power and to CID 525 MS/MS scan subsequently. All quantification data were derived from HCD spectra.

526 Protein quantification

523

527 Relative peptide levels were estimated from reporter ion intensities measured at MS2 level. Only 528 peptides with co-isolation below 40 % were used for quantification. The intensities of all peptides 529 belonging to a Uniprot ID were averaged to form mean peptide intensity (MPI) for every protein. When 530 comparing different protein samples mean peptide intensities were normalized to the sample-mean to 531 form protein expression. Standard error of the mean (SEM) was calculated for every protein as

- 532 follows: 1) for every peptide the intensities were averaged across the samples, 2) the SEM was
- 533 calculated from these mean-centered peptide intensities for every protein and sample.

534 Protein reliability

535 The protein reliability was calculated for genes with at least two peptides quantified. For each gene,

- 536 the peptides were randomly split into two groups and the MPI was calculated for each group as
- 537 described above. The correlation between the MPIs of the two peptide groups across the different
- 538 samples is defined as the reliability of the measurement of that protein.

539 Transcriptomics and proteomics integration

540 While transcripts were identified by Ensembl gene IDs, Uniprot IDs were used for proteins. To

541 integrate the two, we mapped 7681 out of 8515 Uniprot IDs to Ensembl gene IDs present in the RNA-

- 542 seq data using the *idmapping* file from the Uniprot website (15-Sept-2016). An additional set of Uniprot
- 543 IDs were mapped to Ensembl IDs using *biomaRt* v2.28.0 (Durinck et al., 2009). Some proteins have
- 544 more than one Ensembl ID mapping to it, therefore 33 Uniprot IDs were removed, Moreover, 92
- 545 Uniprot IDs mapped non-uniquely to Ensembl IDs and for these the protein intensities were
- reevaluated based on Ensembl IDs. Finally, some genes were not considered because they were not
- 547 detected in all samples. This resulted in a total of 7489 genes based on Ensembl gene IDs, for which
- 548 we have matched mRNA and protein expression data in all samples. Additionally, we observed 3770
- 549 genes with at least 10 mRNA reads in every sample but no detected protein.

550 Sample-wise correlation

- 551 We tested if the sample-wise correlation is constant during the differentiation time course using a
- resampling approach. For each bootstrap a *pseudo-sample* was constructed consisting of every gene,
- 553 but with mRNA and protein expression randomly sampled from the different time points. The
- 554 correlations of 10,000 pseudo-samples were calculated to obtain a null distribution. Samples have
- significantly different correlation if it falls below or above the 0.36 and 99.64 percentiles of the null
- distribution respectively ($\alpha = 0.05$, Bonferroni correction, grey area in Figure 1c).

557 Gene-wise correlation

To define a threshold for low gene-wise correlation we applied a shuffling approach (Tchourine et al., 2014). We determined the Pearson correlation for all possible permutations of the mRNA and protein expression for every gene. More than 95% of all Pearson correlation values obtained in this way were lower than 0.7, which we therefore set as the threshold between low and high correlation.

562 Expression profile classification

563 mRNA and protein expression were arranged in matrix form rows corresponding to genes and the 564 columns corresponding to time course samples. These matrices were standardized by rows. Next, standard singular value decomposition (SVD) was performed separately for mRNA and protein (Wall 565 et al., 2003). From this analysis, we obtain n eigengenes \vec{V}_k where $k \in 1, ..., n$ and n is the number of 566 time points. Using these eigengenes we can reconstruct the standardized expression of gene i, as 567 follows: $\vec{X}_i = \sum_k M_{ik} \vec{V}_k$, where M_{ik} is the contribution of eigengene k to the standardized expression of 568 gene *i*. We defined the eigengene with the biggest contribution to \vec{X}_i as the dominant eigengene. To 569 570 determine if there is an enrichment of genes with concordant mRNA and protein eigengenes, we 571 calculated an empirical p-value based on a null distribution generated by bootstrapped (number of 572 bootstraps = 100,000). This null distribution was constructed under the assumption that the marginal 573 eigengene distributions of mRNA and protein are independent. Moreover, we defined a confident set 574 of genes with a bigger than median fold-change between the contribution of the dominant eigengene 575 and the second most contributing eigengene for both mRNA and protein.

576 Kinetic models of protein synthesis and degradation

577 Approximation of mRNA and CDS/3'UTR expression by natural cubic splines

578 To describe the mRNA, CDS and 3'UTR behavior in the kinetic model of protein synthesis and 579 degradation we approximated the expression with natural cubic splines. These splines were fit on the 580 mRNA expression and on the \log_2 fold change (L2FC) of w, which we call ω . The number of degrees 581 of freedom p used for the fits of every gene was 4 for mRNA expression and 3 for ω expression. 582 These values were automatically determined as described by Storey et al. (Storey, 2005). Briefly, an 583 SVD was performed on the expression matrices of mRNA and ω and the first *n* eigengenes that 584 explain at least 60% of the variance were selected. For each of these eigengenes the optimal number 585 of degrees of freedom p_i was selected by leave one out cross validation (LOOCV) and the largest p_i 586 was used as the number of degrees of freedom p to fit the natural cubic splines for all the genes of the 587 expression matrix. The nodes of the cubic splines were equally spaced across the time course.

588 Kinetic rate parameters estimation

590

589 We model protein turnover as a birth-death process

$$\frac{dP(t)}{dt} = k_s \cdot R(t) - k_d \cdot P(t)$$

591 where P(t) and R(t) are protein and mRNA expression respectively. The solution of this ordinary

592 differential equation (ODE) is given by:

604
$$P(t) = P_0 e^{-k_d t} + k_s \int_0^\tau R(\tau) e^{-k_d \cdot (t-\tau)}$$

593 where P_0 is the protein expression at t = 0 hours. The integral of this equation was estimated

numerically in R using the spline fits described above. We fit the model using gene specific

parameters P_0 , k_s and k_d with the Levenberg – Marquardt non-linear least squares algorithm, which is

implemented in the R package *minpack.Im* v1.2-0. Additionally, we fit models where we set $k_d = 0$,

597 $k_s = 0$ or $k_d = k_s = 0$. For each successful fit we determined the Bayesian Information Criterion:

$$BIC = -2\ln(\hat{L}) + k \cdot \ln(n)$$

598 where \hat{L} is the posterior likelihood of the fit, *k* is number of parameters in the model and *n* is the 599 number of time points. \hat{L} is determined by:

$$\hat{L} = \prod_{j=1}^{n} p(P(t_j) | \hat{\theta})$$

where $\hat{\theta}$ is the vector of inferred model parameters. The probabilities are estimated by assuming a normal distribution around the observed protein expression with a standard deviation equal to the SEM of the peptide intensities. The kinetic model with the lowest BIC was selected as the optimal model.

Additionally, for the subset of genes for which we could determine ω we constructed a model with a time-dependent synthesis rate:

612
$$\frac{dP(t)}{dt} = k_s(t) \cdot R(t) - k_d \cdot P(t) = \kappa_s \left(1 + \beta \omega(t)\right) \cdot R(t) - k_d \cdot P(t)$$

609 where κ_s describes the constant synthesis rate and β parameterizes the time-dependent modulation of 610 the synthesis rate by ω . The solution of this ODE:

613
$$P(t) = P_0 e^{-k_d t} + \int_0^\tau \left((\kappa_s + \beta \omega(t)) \cdot R(\tau) \cdot e^{-k_d \cdot (t-\tau)} \right)$$

611 was fit to the data in the same manner as above.

614 95% confidence region estimation

615 To estimate the 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for k_s and k_d we applied Wilk's theorem:

616
$$\ln(L(\theta)) \ge \ln(L(\hat{\theta})) - \frac{1}{2}\chi^2_{1,1-\alpha}$$

617 where α is 0.05 and $\chi^2_{1.1-\alpha}$ is the value at which the cumulative chi-squared distribution with 1 degree of freedom reaches 0.95. We varied k_s and k_d around the obtained fit $\hat{\theta}$ to find the edges where Wilk's 618 theorem holds. These edges where determined at 24 directions in the k_s - k_d solution plane to obtain a 619 crude 95% confidence region. The projection of this region on k_s and k_d defined $CI_{k_s}^{95\%}$ and $CI_{k_d}^{95\%}$, their 620 621 respective 95% CIs. Note that these intervals are typically much larger than the intervals obtained 622 when searching one parameter at a time. Genes with the full model (as determined by BIC), and with a small $CI_{k_s}^{95\%}$ and $CI_{k_d}^{95\%}$ (each spanning less than a 10-fold range) were defined as the high-confidence 623 624 gene set. Additionally, for genes in this set we determined the protein half-life τ_p as

$$\tau_p = \frac{\ln 2}{k_d}$$

626 Protein prediction of sorted populations

We applied our kinetic model to single-cell transcriptomics data of RA driven differentiation, which we 627 628 obtained previously (Semrau et al., 2016). We determined the mean expression of all cells, as well as 629 XEN and ECT subpopulations starting from the lineage bifurcation at 36 h. All three datasets thus 630 have identical expression up to 36 h. We then scaled the subpopulation data to the bulk data 631 measured here for every gene in the following way: 1) We standardized the single cell time course 632 data using the mean and standard deviation of the pooled single cell data, and 2) we scaled the 633 standardized single cell data to the bulk data using the mean and standard deviations of the bulk time 634 course. Next, we fit a natural cubic spline to the single cell data as before and applied the kinetic 635 model using P_0 , k_s and k_d learned from the bulk mRNA and protein measurements. We evaluated the 636 model performance by calculating the residuals between the predicted XEN and ECT protein expression at 96 h and the bulk measurements of protein in the purified cell types. 637 638 An alternative way of predicting protein expression is by simply multiplying a gene's protein-to-mRNA 639 ratio (PTR) with the gene's mRNA expression. We defined the PTR as the mean protein expression 640 divided by the mean mRNA expression during the time course. We predicted the protein expression of 641 the XEN and ECT populations at 96 h using the bulk mRNA of the respective sorted populations. We 642 used the sorted bulk data rather than the single cell data, because it is more accurate and we 643 therefore expect this to perform better. Like with the single cell predictions, we evaluated model 644 performance using the residuals of the PTR-predictions relative to the measured protein expression of 645 the sorted bulk data.

646 Ribosomal protein gene list

647 The list of RPs was compiled as all Swiss-Prot proteins curated as ribosomal proteins in their

648 descriptions.

649 Eigengene dynamics

We quantified the dynamics of the eigengene profiles as the mean of the squared second derivatives
(roughness). The second derivatives were estimated numerically from three unequally spaced points
by this formula:

653
$$\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = \frac{2y_1}{(x_2 - x_1)(x_3 - x_1)} - \frac{2y_2}{(x_3 - x_2)(x_2 - x_1)} + \frac{2y_3}{(x_3 - x_2)(x_3 - x_1)}$$

where x_1 , x_2 and x_3 are adjacent time points and y_1 , y_2 and y_3 are the respective eigengene

655 intensities.

656 GO term enrichment

657 GO term enrichment was performed with the R package topGO v2.24.0 (Alexa et al., 2006) with the

658 *classic* algorithm. The genes were ranked using Fisher's exact test and deemed significant with an

- 659 FDR of 10%.
- 660

661 Accession numbers

- 662 The RNA-seq data has been deposited in GEO (ID: GSE9563). The raw MS data has been deposited
- 663 in MassIVE (ID: MSV000080461). A web application complementing this publication, which allows
- 664 convenient access to all data can be found here:
- 665 https://home.physics.leidenuniv.nl/~semrau/proteomics/
- 666 user name: upon request
- 667 password: upon request

668 Additional references

- 669 Alexa, A., Rahnenführer, J., Lengauer, T., 2006. Improved scoring of functional groups from gene
- 670 expression data by decorrelating GO graph structure. Bioinformatics 22, 1600–1607.

671 doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btl140

- Langmead, B., Salzberg, S.L., 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods 9, 357–
- 673 359. doi:10.1038/nmeth.1923
- Durinck, S., Spellman, P.T., Birney, E., Huber, W., 2009. Mapping identifiers for the integration of
- 675 genomic datasets with the R/Bioconductor package biomaRt. Nature Protocols 4, 1184–1191.
- 676 doi:10.1038/nprot.2009.97
- 677 Lawrence, M., Huber, W., Pagès, H., Aboyoun, P., Carlson, M., Gentleman, R., Morgan, M.T., Carey,
- 678 V.J., 2013. Software for Computing and Annotating Genomic Ranges. PLoS Comp Biol 9,
- 679 e1003118–10. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003118
- Li, B., Dewey, C.N., 2011. RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data with or
- 681 without a reference genome. BMC Bioinformatics 12, 1. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-12-323
- 682 Love, M.I., Huber, W., Anders, S., 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for
- 683 RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol 15, 31. doi:10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8
- 684 Martin, M., 2011. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads.

685 EMBnet.journal 17, pp. 10–12. doi:10.14806/ej.17.1.200

- Wall, M., Rechtsteiner, A., Rocha, L., 2003. Singular value decomposition and principal component
 analysis. A practical approach to microarray data analysis 91–109.
- 688 Wiśniewski, J.R., Zougman, A., Nagaraj, N., Mann, M., 2009. Universal sample preparation method
- for proteome analysis. Nat Methods 6, 359–362. doi:10.1038/nmeth.1322
- 690
- 691

692 Figure 1



694 Figure 2





700 Figure 3



703 Figure 4



705 Supplementary Figures



707 708

Supplementary Figure 1. Related to figures and 1 and 2. Protein quantification using
 TMT labeling is robust and reproduces previous results on embryo-derived XEN cells.
 mRNA eigengenes are more dynamic than protein eigengenes.

712

(A) From left to right: Venn diagram of the number of genes with quantified mRNA and protein
levels (see Methods), distribution of the number of peptides used to quantify protein
expression, distribution of the coefficient of variation (CV, SD/mean) of the mean-centered
peptide intensities, distribution of the gene-wise protein reliability (Franks et al., 2017). The

717 7459 genes in the intersection are detected in all mRNA and protein samples.

(B) Protein expression of the 96 h sample (consisting of both XEN and ECT cells) compared

- with a sample mixed *in silico* from the independently generated purified XEN and ECT cell
- 720 samples. L2FC: log₂ fold-change.
- 721 (C) Protein expression in XEN cells relative to ESCs as measured in this study compared with
- *in vivo* derived XEN cells measured by Mulvey et al. (2015). Pluripotency- and XEN-marker

- 723 gene sets were defined using a support vector machine learning algorithm. The pluripotency
- set is significantly enriched in genes that are downregulated in our data (p-value = 4.0E-4) and
- the XEN-marker gene set is enriched in genes that are upregulated (p-value = 1.4E-4, gene
- 726 set enrichment analysis).
- (D) mRNA, protein and peptide expression for two genes with negative time-wise correlation:
- Arcvf (r = 0.90) and Arpc1a (r = 0.91). 15 and 11 peptides, respectively, were quantified for
- each gene. regC = regularized counts; MPI = mean peptide intensity.
- 730 (E) Roughness of mRNA and protein expression eigengenes. The roughness of a profile is
- 731 defined as the average squared second derivative.



⁷³³ 734

Supplementary Figure 2. Related to figure 3. The kinetic models can be related to
 biological functions and the inferred kinetic rates are biologically meaningful.

(A) Union of the top 10 significantly enriched *cellular differentiation* GO terms for genes fit best
 by each of the four kinetic models. False discovery rate = 10%.

(B) Protein half life distribution for 1554 genes that were fit best by the full model (according to

the BIC) and have precise estimates of the rates (upper and lower bound of the 95%

confidence intervals (CIs) fall within a 10-fold range)

- 743 (C) Translational efficiency (TE) in mESCs from Ingolia et al. (2011) versus our synthesis
- rates. We show the rates for 1284 genes (intersection between data from Ingolia et al. (2011)
- and the1554 genes shown in B). Boxplots represent the binned TE with whiskers indicating
 1.5 x IQR.
- (D) Log₁₀ protein to mRNA ratio (PTR) versus equilibrium constant ($k_{eq} = k_s / k_d$) for the 1554
- 748 genes described in B. Each data point is an individual gene. Genes that are at equilibrium
- (PTR = k_{eq}) are on the 1:1 line (green). Inserts: PTR relative to k_{eq} across time are shown for
- three example genes that are above, approximately on and below the 1:1 line.
- (E) Ratio of CDS and 3'UTR expression versus protein expression in the 96h sample relative
- to ESCs. The genes with the highest CDS expression fold change are indicated in green. Solid
- lines indicate linear regression fits. CDS = coding DNA sequence, 3'UTR = 3' untranslated
 region.
- (F) Distribution of the parameter β of the extended model, which sets the strength of the
- influence of the CDS-3'UTR ratio on the synthesis rate. Shown are the values of β for the 492
- 757 genes that are improved by the extended kinetic model (according to the BIC).
- 758



759 760 Supplementary Figure 3. Related to figure 3. Genes in the MAPK signaling pathway are regulated dynamically at the protein level during differentiation. 761

762

(A) Pearson correlation between measured protein and mRNA (r_{meas}) versus Pearson 763

- correlation between measured and predicted protein (r_{pred}). Background coloring indicates: 764 765 concordant genes with (high rmeas, blue), discordant genes that are not well-fit (low rmeas, low 766 r_{pred}, red) and discordant genes that are well-fit (low r_{meas}, high r_{pred}, green). Here we
- 767 consider genes with $r_{meas} < 0.7$ to be discordant (see Methods). To assure the the model 768 prediction correlates substantially better with the measured protein than the measured mRNA 769 we require $r_{pred} \ge 0.8$ for a gene to be considered well-fit.
- (B) Dominant eigengene classification of all 7459 genes. The color of a tile indicates the mean 770 fraction of variance explained (mean r²) by the best-fitting kinetic model for genes with a 771 772 particular combination of dominant mRNA and protein eigengene.

773 (C) Dominant eigengene classification of the 368 genes that are not well-fit by the basic kinetic

model (red area of A) and exhibit a bigger than median fold-change between the contribution 774 775 of the dominant eigengene and the second most contributing eigengene. The color of a tile

- 776 indicates the number of genes with a particular combination of dominant mRNA and protein
- eigengene. Enrichment analysis revealed an enrichment of MAPK signaling pathway genes in 777
- 778 the tile highlighted in green (q-value = 1.8e-3).

- (D) mRNA and protein expression profiles of two genes from the tile highlighted in C. Error bars: SEM. regC = regularized counts; MPI = mean peptide intensity.



784

Supplementary Figure 4. Related to figure 4. The different subtypes of the kinetic model
 are enriched in gene sets defined by the differentiation process

787

(A) Volcano plots (mRNA relative expression versus p-value for differential expression) for the
 O6 b complete the ECT complete and the XEN complete mRNA expression is always relative to the

96 h sample, the ECT sample and the XEN sample. mRNA expression is always relative to the

790 0 h sample (ESCs). Genes colored in both red or green are significantly differentially

791 expressed with a false discovery rate (FDR) of 10%. Only genes colored red are considered

marker genes: pluripotency markers are down regulated in the 96 h sample, ECT and XEN

markers are upregulated and have a minimum fold change of 2 compared with the otherpurified sample (see Methods).

(B) Union of the top 10 significantly enriched *cellular differentiation* GO terms for genes in

each of the three DE gene sets and the ribosomal genes. FDR = 10 %.

- 797 (C) Overrepresentation (+ / blue) and underrepresentation (- / red) of the various subtypes of
- the basic kinetic model in the gene sets from B. (D) Genes in pluripotency gene set with
- ⁷⁹⁹ upregulated protein expression. regC = regularized counts; MPI = mean peptide intensity.